



### Indian Fertility Society

# SONO-NAVIGATOR SERIES

### ROLE OF ULTRASOUND IN DIAGNOSING MULLERIAN ANOMALIES

### INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of congenital anomalies of the reproductive tract is estimated to be as high as 7% in the female population. These anomalies usually present with pain, pregnancy complications (fetal malpresentation, preterm labor, recurrent pregnancy loss), or infertility. The American Fertility Society/American Society of Reproductive Medicine (AFS/ASRM) and more recently the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) and the European Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy (ESGE) are the commonly followed classification systems.<sup>1</sup> Various imaging modalities can be used but the **key to diagnosis is the morphology of the outer fundal contour.** 



**Fig 1.** Three-dimensional surface rendered ultrasound images showing different types of uterine malformation using the American Fertility Society classification:

- (a) Normal uterus
- (b) Unicornuate uterus (Type IId)
- (c) Didelphic uterus (Type III)
- (d) Complete bicornuate uterus (Type IVa)
- (e) Partial bicornuate uterus (Type IVb)
- (f) Septate uterus with two cervices (Type Va)
- (g) Partial septate/subseptate uterus (Type Vb)
- (h) Arcuate uterus (Type VI)
- (i) T shaped uterus, DES related (Type VII)

### Imaging techniques: Advantages and Pitfalls

| Hysterosalphingo-<br>graphy (Hsg)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Ultrasound                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | MRI                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Laparo-hysteroscopy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Before the advent of MRI<br>and US, the primary<br>imaging modality for<br>evaluating uterine<br>anomalies was limited to<br>hysterosalpingography<br>(HSG). Normal uterine<br>cavity is seen as a <b>typical</b><br><b>trigone.</b> ( <b>fig. 2</b> )<br>Because HSG cannot show<br>the external <b>uterine fundal</b><br><b>contour, it is of limited</b><br><b>use.</b><br><b>Limitations:</b><br>- Invasive and Painful.<br>- Uses radiation<br>- Contraindicated in<br>pregnancy and active<br>pelvic infection. | Ultrasonography (US) is the<br>preferred method.<br>Readily available, inexpensive,<br>and rapid and does not use<br>ionizing radiation.<br>"Three-dimensional (3D)<br>techniques, US may provide<br>diagnostic accuracy similar to<br>MRI." <sup>2</sup><br><b>Imaging uterus in coronal<br/>plane (fig. 3)</b> provides<br>information about fundus<br>which is vital in characterising<br>various sub types of<br>abnormalities. Better to carry<br>it on during <b>secretory phase</b><br>when endometrium is thick.<br><b>Limitations:</b><br>- operator dependent, bowel<br>gases, retroverted uterus,<br>vaginal septum (TVS cannot<br>be done). | Because of superior<br>multiplanar imaging<br>capability, <b>MRI is generally</b><br><b>considered the most</b><br><b>definitive imaging modality</b> .<br>(fig. 4)<br>MRI provides high-resolution<br>images of the uterine body,<br>fundus, and internal<br>structure. In addition, it can<br>help evaluate the <b>urinary</b><br><b>tract for concomitant</b><br><b>anomalies</b> . Also, MRI is very<br>good in evaluation of the<br><b>septum extent and its fibrous</b><br><b>and muscular component</b> .<br><b>Limitations:</b><br>- Claustrophobia<br>- Patients with metallic<br>implant.<br>- expensive | Gold standard diagnostic<br>technique which is diagnostic<br>as well as therapeutic, as in<br>some cases, procedures like<br>septal resection, adhesiolysis,<br>excision of rudimentary horn<br>etc, can be performed in the<br>same setting.<br>Limitations:<br>However its invasive, has<br>anaesthetic complications. So,<br>lesser invasive techniques like<br>3D USG and MRI have<br>largely replaced its<br>diagnostic use. |

#### Practical tips and tricks in diagnosis.

A common finding is separation of the uterine cavity into right and left compartments. A **divided uterine cavity can result from septate, bicornuate, or didelphys uterus.** Certain criteria are used to increase confidence in diagnosing 1 of the 3 entities.

1. Intercornual distance - It is the distance between the distal ends of the horns (ends that are continuous with fallopian tubes).

When it is less than 2 cm, the likelihood of septate uterus is increased.

If the distance is **greater than 4 cm**, the likelihood of **didelphys uterus** /**bicornuate uterus** is increased. Measurements of 2-4 cm (typical distance in a normal uterus) are indeterminate in an abnormal cavity configuration. (**fig. 5**)

- 2. Intercornual angle Is the angle formed by the most medial aspects of the 2 uterine hemicavities. If the angle is less than 75°, septate uterus is more likely. For larger angles, the anomaly is more likely to be a bicornuate uterus (angle generally >105°). (fig 5)
- **3.** External/fundal indentation On the outer surface: distance between intercornual line and present cleft between the horns/the apex of external fundus.
- 4. Internal Indentation Distance between line touching tips of endometrial cavities and the deepest point between endometrial cavities.



Uterine measurements to classify anomalies according to modified classification system of American Fertility Society proposed by Salim et al. for congenital uterine anomalies.

A. Measurement of Uterine cavity width (W), cavity indentation (I) and angle of cavity indentation. B. Measurement of external indentation (E)

- 5. A Deep Fundal Cleft greater than 1 cm reported to be 100% sensitive and specific in differentiation of fusion anomalies (didelphys and bicornuate) from reabsorption anomalies (septate and arcuate uterus). (fig. 6)
- 6. Troiano and McCarthy criteria (a) When the apex of the fundal contour is more than 5 mm (arrow) above a line drawn between the tubal ostia, the uterus is septate. When the apex of the fundal contour is below (arrow in b) or less than 5 mm above (arrow in c) a line drawn between the tubal ostia, the uterus is bicornuate.



- 7. Differentiation between arcuate and septate uteri Its carried out in the coronal plane on both 3D ultrasound and MRI. While both types of uterus have a normal external contour, in arcuate uterus the internal indentation appears as an obtuse angle at the central point, with a depth of 1cm to 1.5 cm, whereas septate uterus is characterized by an internal indentation with an acute angle at the central point, with a depth of 1.5 cm or more. (fig. 7)
- 8. Differentiation between a didelphys uterus and bicornuate uterus In didelphys uterus, the individual horns are fully developed and almost normal in size. A deep fundal cleft and two cervices are present. A longitudinal or transverse vaginal septum may be present. The horns of the bicornuate uteri are not as fully developed and are smaller than those in the didelphys uteri. The central myometrium may extend to the level of the internal cervical os (bicornuate unicollis) or external os (bicornuate bicollis). Uterus didelphys may be difficult to differentiate from uterus bicor-nuate bicollis as both may have double cervices.
- **9.** Uterine agenesis and hypoplasia Findings include absence of the cervix and/or uterus with a blind-ending vagina. In uterine agenesis, no identifiable uterine tissue is present. In uterine hypoplasia, the endometrial cavity is small with a reduced intercornual distance (< 2 cm).
- **10.** Unicornuate uterus uterus appears banana shaped, deviated to one side without the usual rounded fundal contour and triangular appearance of the fundal cavity. Uterine zonal anatomy is normal. If present, a rudimentary horn can be observed as a soft-tissue mass with echogenicity to that of myometrium. If obstructed, a rudimentary horn with functioning endometrium may present as a complex hemorrhagic cystic structure.

| Characteristics          | Bicornuate | Septate / Subseptate | Arcuate                 |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| External Contour         | Concave    | Flat / Convex        | Flat and broad / Convex |
| External Fundal cleft    | >1 cm      | Absent / <1 cm       | Absent / <1 cm          |
| Intercornual angle       | >105°      | <75°                 | >90°                    |
| Intercornual distance    | >4 cm      | <4 cm                | Not Applicable          |
| Medial endometrial shape | Convex     | Flat / Acute         | Flat / Obtuse           |

### Table showing differential diagnosis of duplication anomalies



**fig 2.** A normal HSG showing a trigone shaped cavity.



**fig 3.** A normal endometrial cavity on 3 D ultrasound



**fig 5.** Hysterosalpingography showing the widened intercornual distance (>4 cm) and the widened intercornual angle (>60°), most probably a bicornuate uterus.



**fig 4.** Uterus didelphys. Axial T2-weighted MRI images of the pelvis. The right kidney was congenitally absent.



**fig 6. Fusion vs resorption anomalies** Three dimensional image on the front shows the angle separation of the two horns in the uterine fundus, which exceeds 10 mm.



**fig 7. Arcuate vs septate**- obtuse vs acute angle and indentation depth <1.5 cm vs >1.5 cm.

### **<u>References</u>**

- 1. Grigoris F. Grimbizis<sup>1,2</sup>, Stephan Gordats<sup>1</sup>, Attilio Di Spiezio Sardo<sup>1</sup>, Sara Brucker<sup>1</sup>, Carlo De Angelis<sup>1</sup>, Marco Gergolet<sup>1</sup>, Tin-Chiu Li<sup>1</sup>, Vasilios Tanos<sup>1</sup>, Hans Brolmann<sup>1</sup>, Luca Gianaroli<sup>1</sup>, and Rudi Campo<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Bermejo C, Martinez TP, Cantarero R, Diaz D, Perez Pedregosa J, et al. (2010) Three dimensional ultrasound in diagnosis of Mullerian duct anomalies and concordance with magnetic resonance imaging. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 35:593-601.
- 3. Troiano RN, Mccarthy SM. Mullerian duct anomalies: imaging and clinical issues, Radiology, 2004. vol. 233 (pg. 19-34)

#### **Book References**

- 1. Callen.P.W. (2008).Ultrasound in obstetrics and gynaecology.Philadelphia:Saunders. Elsevier.
- 2. Abuhamad A.(2014). Ultrasound in obstetrics and gynaecology: A practical approach.

Dr M Gouri Devi President **Dr Pankaj Talwar** Secretary General

Contributor **Dr Gunjan Bhatnagar** Fellow IFS

Dr Ashok KhuranaDr Ritu KhannaSIG ConvenorSIG Co-convenorReproductive UltrasoundReproductive Ultrasound

#### **IFS Secretariat**

302, 3rd Floor, Kailash Building Kasturba Gandhi Marg, C.P, New Delhi-110001 Contact No. 9899308083 Email Address - indianfertilitysocietydelhi@gmail.com Web - indianfertilitysociety.org



## **F** INDIAN FERTILITY SOCIETY

## IFS is a Multi-Disciplinary Society that values the input and participation of professionals in the scope of Reproductive Medicine.

2546+ Members

26 State Chapters

### How To Join IFS

IFS Life Membership Fees

Clinicians:-Rs. 5932/- + (18% GST -1068) = Rs.7000/-Non Clinicians:-Rs. 4237/- + (18% GST -763) = Rs. 5000/-

### **Online Procedure**

It is the easiest way to Register yourself as the lifetime member of our family. while following the procedure, fill the online membership form and pay the membership fee.

Your registration number would be generated and you will get your membership certificate and also access to member portal on our website where you can manage your profile and get all important notification.

### **Offline Procedure**

You can pay by cash or card at the registration counter provided.

or

you can easily download our offline membership form or use the offline form attached with this document, fill all required information attach the membership fee DD or Cheque and send on our secretariat address.

### Account Details: Account No: 50562010067180 | IFSC Code: ORBC0100179 Bank Name: Oriental Bank of Commerce Branch: Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001

Dr. M. Gouri Devi President Dr. Pankaj Talwar Secretary General

- ☆ IFS Secretariat Flat No. 302, 3rd Floor, Kailash Building, 26, Kasturba Gandhi Marg, C.P. New Delhi – 110001
- +91 9667742015
  +91-9899308083
  91-1140018184
- indianfertilitysocietydelhi@gmail.com
   info@indianfertilitysociety.org
   indianfertilitysocietyifs
- 💟 ifsdelhi
- indianfertilitysociety



### **Offline Registration Form**

Download the form and send to the secretariat with recent pic and cheque/draft

| Workplace Address  | Residence Address  |  |
|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Mobile             | E-mail             |  |
| Workplace Phone No | Residence Phone No |  |
| Date of Birth      | Designation        |  |
| Name               | Qualification      |  |

| 1 |       |  | <u> </u> |  |
|---|-------|--|----------|--|
|   | State |  |          |  |

|             | .1 |
|-------------|----|
| IFS Chapter |    |

### Address to be used for correspondence

Workplace 0 Residence  $\bigcirc$ 

### Account Details:

Account No: 50562010067180 | IFSC Code: ORBC0100179 Bank Name: Oriental Bank of Commerce Branch: Connaught Place, New Delhi-110001

### **Payment Details :**

| Amount :                     |        |         |
|------------------------------|--------|---------|
| Cash / Cheque / Demand Draft | No. :  | Dated : |
| Bank : :                     |        |         |
| Signature : :                | Name : | Date :  |

### IFS Life Membership Fees

Clinicians:-Rs. 5932/- + (18% GST -1068) = Rs.7000/-

Non Clinicians:-Rs. 4237/- + (18% GST -763) = Rs. 5000/-

- \* Please make Cheque / Draft in favour of "INDIAN FERTILITY SOCIETY" payable at New Delhi.
- \*Please attaché two recent passport size photographs.

Who can apply for IFS Membership : All Professionals with postgraduate qualification such as Obstetricians & Gynaecologists, Clinical embryologists, andrologists, ultrasonologists, counsellors, geneticists and other involved in the care of infertility patients.

A IFS Secretariat Flat No. 302, 3rd Floor, Kailash Building, 26, Kasturba Gandhi Marg,

S +91 9667742015 +91-9899308083 **8** 91-1140018184

🗹 indianfertilitysocietydelhi@gmail.com

info@indianfertilitysociety.org

indianfertilitysocietyifs

🔽 ifedalhi